UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/522,000	02/23/2005	Yaeta Endo	3190-071	6735
	7590 03/06/200 VERSOX, P.L.L.C.	EXAMINER		
400 HOLIDAY COURT			BRISTOL, LYNN ANNE	
SUITE 102 WARRENTON, VA 20186			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1643	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/06/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Intonvious Summans	10/522,000	ENDO ET AL.				
Interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	LYNN BRISTOL	1643				
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):						
(1) <u>LYNN BRISTOL</u> .	(3)					
(2) <u>Nahied Usman</u> .	(4)					
Date of Interview: 25 February 2008.						
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2)□ applicant's representative]						
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description:						
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1,3 and 21</u> .						
Identification of prior art discussed: Pavlinkova et al. (Peptides 24:353-362 (2003)) cited in Office Action of 11/26/07.						
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) № N/A.						
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: discussion focused on interpretation of Pavlinkova reference disclosure for (VL-linker-VH-linker-VH-linker-VH) and attachment of BMP to "C-terminus of VH domain" with respect to instant claim scope, applicants proposal to combine claims 1 and 3, and applicants proposal to delete elements 1, 2, 5 and 6 from Claim 21. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.						
	/Lynn Bristol/ Examiner, Art Unit 1643 Examiner's signature, if requi	red				